

# **How could we study the trigger performance with the coming simulation?**

R. Le Gac, CPPM–IN2P3–CNRS

# Introduction

- **More realistic description of the muon stations, chambers, digitizations, ... will be available soon.**
- **Evaluate the effect of each detail on the performance of the muon system.**
- **Lost at the percent level have to be understood and corrected**
- **The most popular estimator is the trigger acceptance on  $B \rightarrow \mu X$  events when the MB retention is fixed to 1–2%.**
- **We proposed to:**
  - Use common reference samples
  - Use common reference layout
  - Use common tools
  - Share the work

# Reference samples

- **Minimum Bias event generated within  $4\pi$  with 1 interaction**
- **$B \rightarrow \mu X$  events with 1 interaction**  
where the true muon coming from the B decay hit at least station M1 and M2:
  - **The  $\mu$  is in the LHCb acceptance and it is identified by the muon detector <sup>(1)</sup>**
  - **Similar to the data set which will be selected by physics groups <sup>(2)</sup>**
    - **This data set is the most sensitive one to optimized the muon system**
    - **A perfect trigger has to keep all them**
    - **A perfect muon system has to maximize their amount**
- **High statistic samples are required to estimated effects at the percent level**  
( $10^4 B \rightarrow \mu X$  events,  $10^5$  MB)

---

<sup>(1)</sup> It will be identify by the Calorimeter if it does not reach M2

<sup>(2)</sup> No analysis routine required to selecte them.

# Reference layouts

- **TP like layout:**
  - Region scale in a ratio 1:2
  - Station equipped with pads
  - Pad granularity is the TP one
  - Generic detector description (data v222 + dbase v229)
  - Distance between 2 sensitive layer 16 cm
  - FOI optimized at each working points
- **Mar'00 layout:**
  - Region scale in a ratio 1:2
  - Station equipped with pads and strips
  - Mar'00 granularity
  - Generic detector description (data v222 + dbase v229)
  - Distance between the 2 sensitive layers: 4 cm
  - FOI optimized at each working point

# Common tools

- **Use the 10muon package developed by the Marseille group:**
  - **SICBDST application running the Marseille/Theoretical trigger**
  - **Tool to optimized the FOI**
  - **Tool to plot the  $B \rightarrow \mu X$  acceptance as a function of the MB retention**
  - **Tool to plot the logical layout**
  - ...
- **To start with the reference layout Mar'00+4cm:**
  - `cd mycmt`
  - `getpack 10muon v3r1`
  - `cd 10muon/v3r1`
  - `source mgr/setup.csh`
  - `Edit sicb.dat` to select your data and running conditions
  - `do10m`
  - `less mcint.lis`
- **To submit a batch:**
  - `edit 10mbatch` to select data and running conditions
  - `qsub < 10mbatch`

- **Our tool is ready to work with the coming simulation (10muon/v4)**

# Work to be done

- **Evaluate and understand the effects of the:**
  - Chamber geometry (infinite time window+perfect digitization)
  - Time window (perfect digitization)
  - Cluster size
  - Dead time
  - Chamber noise
  - Spill over
  - ...
- **Studies have to be performed as a function of the background level:**  
Nominal,  $M1 \times 2$ ,  $(M2-M5) \times 5$ , Maximal
- **Studies have to also take into account the muon generated by the machine.**
- **Studies can be shared between detector and trigger people.**

# Conclusions

- **We have to evaluate quickly the effects of the chamber geometry and of the digitization.**
- **Percent effect have to be understood and corrected.**
- **We proposed to use common tools and to share the work between muon and trigger groups.**
- **These studies will be summarized in a note. It will be cited in the muon TDR.**